

Have your say on the marine park management plan survey

NUMBERS MATTER! Your view matters!

(you will need to 'register at the start of the survey, note you may not be able to review your answers but you should be sent a copy of them)

Keep Jervis Bay Unspoilt wants to ensure that the primary purpose of marine parks ... to conserve the biological diversity, and maintain ecosystem integrity and ecosystem function, or bioregions in the marine estate **outweighs** all other management considerations.

We emphasise the need for transparency, and decisions based on the best science with the goal of conservation, and not turning Jervis Bay into a cruise ship port.

Our recommendations in completing the survey follow. You do not have to answer each question.

SURVEY QUESTIONS: Comments are optional after each multiple choice rating.

1 Choose PRIVATE CITIZEN

2 UPLOAD THE 2 PAGE SUBMISSION

6 Have you read the plan – YES/ NO / or IGNORE

7 Choose STRONGLY DISAGREE

9 Values – DISAGREE (Comment box: protecting the intrinsic ecological values of the park must take priority)

11 Threats – STRONGLY DISAGREE

13 Objectives – AGREE, Comment: objective 5 improving access and opportunity for enhanced social, cultural and economic values – the plan as a whole endorses an increase in access and opportunity without researching whether marine parks are even coping with current levels of tourism, boating, and commercial activity. This objective also 'opens the door' for cruise ships in Jervis Bay – We recommend removing Objective 5.

15 1.1. to 1.5 STRONGLY AGREE

1.6 AGREE sustainable marine resources use – no extraction in sanctuaries

17 Network actions – 2.1 to 2.4 STRONGLY AGREE

19 Climate change - STRONGLY AGREE

21 Aboriginal culture and management – 4.1 and 4.2 STRONGLY AGREE

23 Improve access and opportunity (social, cultural, economic) –

5.1 For boating – STRONGLY DISAGREE – this supports cruise ships in Jervis Bay

5.2 For commercial fishing - STRONGLY DISAGREE

5.3 Protect maritime heritage – STRONGLY AGREE

5.4 For recreation – DISAGREE

5.5 For recreational fishing - STRONGLY DISAGREE

5.6 No conflict - AGREE

5.7 For tourism -NEUTRAL

5.8 Bequest and intrinsic – STRONGLY AGREE

24 Comment - Jervis Bay does not have a problem in terms of access - the boat ramp is the busiest in the south coast; the more logical option would be to build boat ramps elsewhere to increase access to areas that AREN'T marine park. Tourism is booming – With greater use comes greater user conflict and this is becoming an escalating problem in Jervis Bay. These actions 'open the door' to cruise ships in Jervis Bay. We recommend changing this action to undertaking a study of the impacts of current levels of access to determine where access needs to be reduced, and where it might be increased to improve recreation outcomes without harming the primary purpose of marine parks.

25 Support evidence-based decisions – 6.1 to 6.3 STRONGLY AGREE

6.4 STRONGLY DISAGREE

COMMENT: There is no evidence that regulation needs to be removed, and no transparency around what would be allowed if regulation is removed, e.g. cruise ships. We recommend removing 6.4a and inserting a review of regulation with the view to strengthening protection of sanctuary zones and the overall ability to achieve the primary purpose of marine parks.

28 – About your marine park visits

31 Satisfaction with information on survey and website – EXTREMELY DISSATISFIED

32 Satisfaction with this consultation process - EXTREMELY DISSATISFIED