

Nina Digiglio response

Questions for Gilmore Candidates

Dear Nina,

The Jervis Bay Community CruiseShip Coalition (JBCCC) is keen to let our community know your position on the following issues.

Can you please respond to the following 5 questions and return your response to us by midnight Sunday 8th May via email.

We will share your response (or lack of!), and that of other Gilmore candidates with our community via Facebook, webpage, email networks, and local media.

Thank you for taking the time to answer our questions.

1. How important is the environmental health of our marine parks to you?

Very important

I understand the connection between human health and environmental health and having clean water ways and surrounding biodiversity promotes this.

2. Do you support Jervis Bay Marine Park being used as an identified cruise ship port and/or destination?

No

3. When policy and management decisions are formulated with the aim of protecting ecosystems and species which of the following is more important? Please rank (1 being most important – 4 of least importance),

Environment e.g. science, education and enforcement

Social aspects e.g. user groups such as recreation hunters, fishers, snorkellers

Least important are economic because no amount of money can replace permanent environmental damage.

4. Our beaches and waterways are being negatively impacted by extreme weather events which are partly produced by climate change.

How will you address this, and if elected, what will you be doing to prevent and minimise the impacts of climate change?

On top on reducing emissions and use of coal and gas products , the subject of climate and weather manipulation must also be taken into consideration. I encourage you to go to [Climate Viewer.com](http://ClimateViewer.com) and to also look for a site called Dane Whittington called "The Dimming"

We are also a community that is concerned about the safety of our terrestrial fauna and ecosystems.

5. Do you support a mandatory requirement for the Princes Highway upgrade to include wildlife crossings and corridors such that wildlife deaths and injuries will be **significantly reduced** compared to current levels all the way to the Victorian border?

Yes, but would like to see the planning and predicted outcomes based on evidence Such projects should be properly consulted on with the community and stakeholders as well.